

三隊分派「豎」足「統」? TO WHAT EXTENT IS THE TOLL ADJUSTMENT PROPOSAL EFFECTIVE?

CHEUNG TSZ CHUNG 1155109334 KWONG CHUN HEI 1155109970 CHAN CHUN YU 1155110614

INTRODUCTION:

- HK Government has released the Toll Adjustment Proposal on 1/22/2019, as to solve the congestion problem of the cross harbour tunnels by adjusting the toll.
- This proposal has been widely discussed in the society, but the effectiveness of it still lies unknown. Here, we are aiming at estimating the effect of the adjustment proposal in an Economics perspective.

METHODOLOGY:

ASSUMPTION:

- **Cross Harbour Tunnel (CHT) and West Harbour Crossing (WHC) are close substitute.**
- East Harbour Crossing (EHC) is not a close substitute to the other two, due to its distinct geographical location.

ADJUSTMENT PLAN:

 $Flow(p) = \alpha 0 + \alpha 1(price ratio p) + \alpha 2ln(GDP) + \alpha 3timetrend + u$ $Flow(t) = \beta 0 + \beta 1(price ratio t) + \beta 2ln(GDP) + \beta 3timetrend + v$

> Private car and Taxi are denoted by p and t **Price ratio: Toll in WHC/ Toll in CHT GDP:** Real GDP per capita for the month **Time trend: Time trend denoted by 1 to 36**

As for $\alpha 0 \& \beta 1$, the effect of change in price ratio can be estimated.

 $\Delta Flow(p) = \alpha 1 \Delta (price ratio p) + \alpha 2 \Delta ln(GDP) + \alpha 3 \Delta timetrend$ $\Delta Flow(t) = \beta 1 \Delta (price ratio t) + \beta 2 \Delta ln(GDP) + \beta 3 \Delta timetrend$

REGRESSION AND ANALYSIS

Dependent variables: Total vehicle flow

	CHT	WHC	
Private Cars	\$20 → \$40	\$70→\$50	
Taxis (Hired)	\$10 → \$20	\$65→\$36	
Taxis (Empty)	\$10→\$15	\$65→\$15	





	WHC		CHT		200000
	Private	Taxi	Private	Taxi	1800000
Price ratio	-308041*	-61791*	34511	-14636	160000
	(94936)	(28264)	(71764)	(30750)	140000
					120000
Real GDP	545250*	200973*	96320	-12080	100000
per capita	(185685)	(96106)	(112989)	(110696)	80000
• •					60000
Time trend	4971 *	1746	-126	-2155	40000
	(1971)	(1175)	(1551)	(1312)	20000
				Significant*	



price ratio reflect the relative toll of the WHC to the CHT, when it increase/decrease, it implies the cost of using the WHC increase/decrease, and the cost of using the CHT decrease/increase.

 $\Delta Flow(p)$ and $\Delta Flow(t)$ for WHC are significant, but for CHT are not.



Q: Why the $\Delta Flow(p)$ for CHT seems insignificant?

A: It might because that the CHT is already too crowded. As the usage of CHT has reached its designed capacity already, the effect of change in price seems insignificant.

Q: Why the $\Delta Flow(t)$ for CHT is positive while the cost of using it decreased?

A: One possible answer is when people hire taxi, it implies that they are in a hurry. They are not very sensitive to price, but to the time spend on traveling. As the price ratio increase, the vehicle flow of WHC will decrease so as WHC will become less crowded, then taxis will switch from CHT to WHC to save time.

CONCLUSION:

- The increase in vehicle flow in WHC is more significant than the decrease in vehicle flow in CHT.
- The proposal seems to be ineffective as the congestion problem in CHT cannot be solved by the toll adjustment.



